UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/788,860	02/27/2004	William C. Barlow	LOT9-2004-0015US1 (7321-4	7207

46321 7590 10/31/2007		EXAMINER
CAREY, RODRIGUEZ, GREENBERG & PAUL, LLP		GUPTA, MUKTESH G
STEVEN M. GREENBERG		

	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
950 PENINSULA CORPORATE CIRCLE	4121	
SUITE 3020		
BOCA RATON, FL 33487		

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
10/31/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	10/788,860	BARLOW, WILLIAM C.
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Muktesh G. Gupta	4121	

-- *The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address* --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE _3_ MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on _27 February 2004_.

2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**.   2b) ☒ This action is non-final.

3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) ☒ Claim(s) _1-17_ is/are pending in the application.

    4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6) ☒ Claim(s) _____ is/are rejected.

7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on _02/27/2004_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.

    Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

    Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

    a) ☐ All   b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:

      1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.

      2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.

      3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

    * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
    Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.

4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
    Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.

5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application

6) ☐ Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

1.   **Claims 1-17** have been examined and are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that

form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

2.   **Claims 1-17** rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent

No. 6564261 to Gudjonsson et al. (hereinafter "Gudjonsson").

As to Claim 1, Gudjonsson teaches system for Web conference provisioning

system comprising a policy manager coupled to at least two different Web

conferencing platforms over a computer communications network, said policy

manager having a configuration for processing a request for a Web conferencing

from a communicatively linked end user to select one of said Web conferencing

platforms to host said Web conference (as stated in col. 7, lines 35-67, col. 8, lines

1-2 and col. 3, lines 1-17, *A system/network* includes a plurality of client

applications and a back-end server system having a *plurality of clusters (running*

on different platforms) and to provide *users* with a simple and secure way of

establishing arbitrary *communication sessions* with *other users* or *services*

providers, running either over *IP networks or other networks*. It also provides

operators or managers (policy managers) of at least *one cluster* a

comprehensive environment in which to *deploy (host)* value added *services*,

search engine services, database services, shopping services, video conferencing services, **web conferencing services**, to their users and to be able to charge for their use, as well as providing them a way to link their installed **base of services** over to IP networks.   In basic terms system/network act as a broker(s), and can **broker communication services** between **two or more people/services providers**, using the user **management functions, security, authentication** features,   make contact information available and **configurable centrally**, independent of **devices (platforms)**, and give **users** a **single address** to use for all **communications,** of the **system/network** as their base. Since the **system/network** is designed to offer **accessibility** and **mobility**, a **user** will be able to access his or her data and **services** from **virtually** any **communication device—computer, PDA, Mobile**, ensuring a broad reach for Value-Added Services of the **system/network**, such as **web conferencing)**.

As to **Claim 2**, Gudjonsson teaches system of claim 1, wherein said at least two different Web conferencing platforms comprise a platform selected from the group consisting of a customer premises equipment based platform and a hosted platform (as stated in col. 2, lines 51-67, system includes confederated **network** of server **clusters (group)** along with **any number** of **client terminals (customer premises equipment)** that connect to the **clusters. Terminals/clients** are software entities running under **different operating system platforms** or **any other device** running on some **communication network** that can have **access** to the **cluster.**

Terminals/clients can *gain access (selected from the group)* to any number of *services* running *within the cluster*, or to services running in *other clusters*. The connection between the *terminals/clients* and the *cluster* is *secure*, and use *cryptography*).

As to Claim 3, Gudjonsson teaches system of claim 1, further comprising a firewall disposed between said end user and said policy manager (as stated in col. 8, lines 18-34, External *users* 7 and their respective *clients* 11 a user's PC, mobile phone, and/or PDA can *connect* to *services* within the *cluster* via a special connection service, that typically runs on connection servers at the boundary of the *cluster's firewall* 9, and listens for connections on a specific port. Streams *established* through that service are *secure* and *encrypted*. As such, the cluster 1 along with all connected users 7 and clients 11 can form a *virtual private network* within which connections between services can be freely established. *Connections* can also be made between *services* and/or *users* 7 in *different clusters* 1, as illustrated in FIG. 1. Such connections go through a special *inter-cluster service*, which is also *secure and encrypted).*

As to Claim 4, Gudjonsson teaches system of claim 1, further comprising a demilitarized zone firewall disposed in between said policy manager and end users coupled to said policy manager of a public Internet (as stated in col. 32, lines 27-34, *Connection Servers of service providers* lie on the boundary *between* the

unsecured Internet and the *secure Intranet* that *hosts* the *cluster* 1. Connection

Servers may see all connected clients' traffic in clear text, and also contain their own

private keys in clear text. Because Connection Servers are *open to connections*

(demilitarized zone) from the *unsecured Internet* and handle all *terminals/client*

(end users) communications, they *function* as *firewalls*).

As to Claim 5, Gudjonsson teaches system of claim 1, further comprising at

least one policy configured for processing in said policy manager (as stated in col.8,

lines 49-65, by default a *cluster (operators or policy managers)* 1 run basic *set of*

services (based on policies of cluster), which offer the following *features*, allow

each user or *user's client* 7 to have a unique identity within *all clusters*, provide

each user 7 the ability to connect and be *securely authenticated* by the *cluster* 1

using that identity, provide each user 7 the ability to *define arbitrary sets of data*

related to that identity this data is persisted or stored in the database 13, and this

data is referred to herein as "presence" data of the user).

As to Claim 6, Gudjonsson teaches system of claim 5, wherein said at least one

policy specifies a platform selection based upon criteria selected from the group

consisting of a number of participants to said Web conference, whether said

participants are internal or external to a private network of said end user, a set of

features desired for use in said Web conference, a security level required for said

Web conference, and a priority of said Web conference (as stated in preceding

paragraph and col.11, lines 33-64, when the *user* 7 launches the application, he/she

is prompted for his *user identity*, which includes the *address to his operator*, and

a password to be securely authenticated. At this point, the client 11 connects to the

corresponding server 3 and establishes a secure connection with it. If logging on is

successful, the ball opens and exposes variety of *functions/services* and displays

status of on-line/off-line users of the system, which may be utilized by the user/client.

One such function is known as a contact list. This list is maintained by the user and

may include, other individuals that the users knows and has contact with and

optionally addresses or IDs of the other users. The list can easily be organized by

defining *folders/groups* based on *criteria selected*, as well as choose from

different display modes. The user can enter new contacts, either by typing in their

system/network identity or by initiating a search in a *directory service*, where they

can search according to *various criteria*, such as names, e-mail, groups, status,

services et cetera).

As to Claim 7, Gudjonsson teaches system of claim 6, wherein said set of

features comprises at least one feature selected from the group consisting of screen

sharing, slideshow presentations, streaming audio, voice over IP, audio

conferencing, the use of on-premise audio equipment, audio recording, joint Web

browsing, chat and instant messaging and streaming video (as stated in preceding

paragraph and col.12, lines 55-67, col.13, lines 1-19, col.24, lines 32-35, col. 25,

lines 6-9, By selecting users from this contact list, a variety of functions become

available to the selecting user. This information may be a combination of items that the contact has actually defined for him. In addition, a function, which becomes available to the selecting user is the ability to **send invitations** to the **selected contact/group** from the list asking another **user/group** to join the inviting user in a **communication session** of a **given type (web conferencing)**. There is **no limitation** on what **kind of invitations** can be sent, these elementary types include, **Pages**, **real-time text chat session**, **real-time voice session** and **web conference**, these invitation allow users to **share** navigation on the Web, such that the Web navigation of one user is reflected on the other user's **browser**. FIG. 14 is a flowchart illustrating how a first user, user #1 can **establish** a **communications session, voice chat, text chat, web conference,** etc., with a second user, user #2 using **one or more clusters** of the **network**. Apart from sending pages, a function of the routing service 33 is to act as a tool with which users can rendezvous in **any kind of session**, be it a **telephone call**, **a text chat**, a **video conference**, or **web conference**).

As to Claims 8 and 13, Gudjonsson teaches Web conference provisioning method comprising the steps of (as stated in col. 1, lines 12-15, **system** and corresponding **method** of **establishing communication session(s) (web conference) between users** as a **function** of their availability and/or **communication device(s)**):

machine readable storage having stored thereon a computer program for Web conference provisioning, the computer program comprising a routine set of instructions which when executed by a machine causes the machine to perform the steps of (as stated in col. 38, lines 8-32, col. 34, lines 26-49, *application (computer program)* is aimed at users who have access to the *Internet* and an account with an internet service provider, using *computer devices* running on various *operating systems platforms* and have *downloaded/stored/executed their application* from the *ISP/·Internet*. The system/network is designed to *features text* and *voice capabilities*, and is a standard *GUI program* with a persistent connection ·to the server. The "web client" is a very basic client to the application, which enables *users* with access to a forms-enabled *browser* to send anyone in the community a page and provides users with a simple and secure way of *establishing communication sessions* with other *users* or *services*, running either over *IP networks* or *other networks*):

establishing criteria for a proposed Web conference (as stated in preceding paragraphs of claim 1 and col.8, lines 47-65, col.11, lines 44-64, by default a cluster 1 will run a basic *set of services (establishing criteria for communication session)* which offer the following *features*, allow each user 7 to have a *unique identity (criteria)* within all clusters, provide each user 7 the ability to connect and be *securely authenticated (criteria)* by the *cluster* 1 using that identity, provide each user 7 the ability to *define arbitrary sets of data related to that identity,* this data is persisted or stored in the database 13, and this data is referred to herein as

"presence" data of the user, provide each user 7 the ability *to publish a dynamic status information and/or presence information related to their identity (criteria)*, provide each user 7 the ability to monitor the status/presence of a given set of other users 7 in the *same or different cluster(s),* and be notified of any change thereof; and   provide each user 7 the ability to look for other user's identity(ies) using *queries* by name/group or other *useful criteria*);

and, applying at least one policy to said criteria to identify a platform for hosting said proposed Web conference (as stated in col. 7, lines 35-67, col. 8, lines 1-2 and col. 3, lines 1-17, Basic *services* which may be provided within each *cluster*, include *dynamic user properties*, *contact list* and *contact notification*, that allow users to subscribe and be notified of the online status of other users, routing service, that allows users to *send requests or invitations* for *communication sessions (web conference)* to other *users*, as well as *configure* how these invitations are handled depending on the user's current presence information, *device information (PC, PDA, Mobile platforms)* and to *establish* an *communication sessions, as text chat session*, *voice chat session* and *web conference* over *networks* with other *users/service providers*).

As to Claims 9 and 14, Gudjonsson teaches method of claims 8 and 13, further comprising the steps of resolving an address to said identified platform (as stated in col. 3, lines 14-27, lines 28-36, *routing service* allows *users* to send *invitations* to *other users* to *establish* an arbitrary *communication session* (e.g., text chat

session, voice chat session, *web conference*, etc.) over arbitrary *networks*. The *cluster* and *services* within it make the *necessary minimum setup (resolving address)* for the *session* to be *established*);

imbedding said address in an invitation to participate in said proposed Web conference (as stated in col. 3, lines 14-27, lines 28-36, *clusters* can forward *requests* to other *clusters*, and thus insure the *connectivity* of *all clusters* within the system and the *routing service (imbedding address)* for the *sending/inviting user* sends the *invitation* to the *routing service* for the *receiving user)*;

and, forwarding said invitation to selected participants in said proposed Web conference (as stated in col. 3, lines 14-27, lines 28-36, routing service for the receiving user determines, according to a logic specified by the same receiving user, how the request is handled and what services are available to handle the request and *forward* the *invitation* to the *receiving user's* terminal/client, may forward the invitation to the receiving user's mobile phone, or may forward the invitation to the receiving user's inbox so that the user may later read the invitation).

As to Claims 10 and 15, Gudjonsson teaches method of claims 8 and 13, further comprising the steps of: re-establishing said criteria; and, applying said at least one policy to said re-established criteria to identify a different platform for hosting said proposed Web conference (as stated in col. 3, lines 14-27, lines 28-36, routing service for the receiving user determines, *according* to a *logic specified (policy specified and stored in profile of the user)* by the same *receiving user,*

how the request is handled and what services are available to handle the request and *forward* the *invitation* to the *receiving user's terminal/client*, may forward the invitation to the receiving user's *mobile phone/PDA*, or may forward the invitation to the receiving user's inbox so that the user may later read the invitation).

As to Claims 11 and 16, Gudjonsson teaches method of claims 8 and 13, further comprising the step of performing said establishing and applying steps responsive to a request to schedule said proposed Web conference (as stated in col. 27, lines 62-67, col. 28, lines 1-7, *session service are responsible for* handling *session management.* The user that *initiates* a session i.e. creates a conference or initiates file transfer, *owns the session*).

As to Claims 12 and 17, Gudjonsson teaches method of claims 8 and 13, further comprising the step of performing said establishing and applying steps when activating said proposed Web conference (as stated preceding paragraphs and in col. 27, lines 62-67, col. 28, lines 1-7, Other users 7 get *invitations* to the *session*, which contain *directions* on how to *connect to the session*, and this is handled by the *session management* server keeping a list of users that acknowledge the invitation to join the *conference* and establishes connections to enter the conference).

Conclusion

3.   The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

US Patent No. 6437818 to Ludwig et al., US Pat No. 6816904 to Ludwig et al., and US Publication No. 2005/0021620 are cited for reference but not taken into consideration.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Muktesh G. Gupta whose telephone number is 571-270-5011. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:00 a.m. - 5:00 p.m., EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Taghi T. Arani can be reached on 571-272-3787. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Muktesh G. Gupta   Dr. Taghi T. Arani

TAGHI ARANI
pr

10/27/07